

MINUTES OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE (TEC) FOR
WORKS MEETING HELD ON MARCH 16, 2017

A meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for Works was held on March 16th, 2017 in the Meeting Room, NBP Technology Center, (Previously Multipurpose Building), Main Campus at 11:00 a.m. The following attended:

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Kamran Mumtaz | Chairman |
| 2. | Muhammad Tariq | Convener |
| 3. | Syed Akbar Hussain Kazmi | Member |
| 4. | Mansoor Ali | Member - Not Attended |
| 5. | Mahmood Ali | Member |

Special Invitation

6. Mr. S. Fahim Uddin, Manager (General Maintenance)

Item#1: **Approval for Repair & Maintenance Civil works at Staff Town Housing Unit**

Procurement Committee has forwarded the case of above item which was at items' serial No. 3 of Procurement Committee agenda for an expert advise on following items of the BOQ. However, the committee reviewed the complete BOQ of the respect work.

- 1.1 The Committee has also reviewed the letter of Sr. Manager Purchase and Stores which was sent to the contractor, M/s. Bin Saeed Constructions for clarifications of some quoted items (copies attached). The committee noted that the reply of contractor does not satisfy the scope of work mentioned tasks and still is unsatisfactory.
- 1.2 Further the Committee has examined complete bid/BOQ submitted by the contractor, M/s. Bin Saeed Constructions and find the following discrepancies:
 - a) **REPAIR RENOVATION OF KITCHEN S # 1 : *Providing and fixing in position cabinets (page No. 14 of 27)*** : The contractor amended the required quantity and made the item conditional which is not acceptable according to the rules of SPPRA/PEC. *Ref. PP4 (Page 110)*
(We required Door fixing quantity is 22 rft and Hanging Cabinets 24 sq.ft, whereas, the contractor changed the quantity to 90 ? (rft. or sft.) and quoted a single rate of Rs. 700/- instead of quoting separate rates against each quantity)
 - b) **PROVIDING AND FIXING IN POSITION MARBLE SLABS / COUNTER TOPS (page No. 15 of 27)** : In this particular item the contractor has quoted two different types of stones and made the item conditional which is not acceptable according to the rules of SPPRA/PEC.
 - c) **CARPENTRY JOBS (PROVIDING AND FIXING INCLUDING REPAIR JOBS) S # 1 : *Repair and maintenance of all existing doors/windows.... (page No. 17 of 27)*** : In this item the contractor has applied condition of White Paint and amended the BOQ along with quoting the lump sum prices instead of quoting the itemized prices as clearly mentioned in the BOQ. The contractor does not allow to amend the BOQ/bid of employer and conditional bid/BOQ is not acceptable according to the rules of SPPRA/PEC.

Cont'd. on page 2

- d) **REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF SEWERAGE LINES/MANHOLES S # 3** : *Providing and fixing Man hole cover..... (page No. 18 of 27)* : In this item the contractor has also not quoted the itemized prices. A lump sum price has been quoted and made the bid conditional and conditional bid is not acceptable according to the rules of SPPRA/PEC.
- e) **REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF MOSAIC FLOOR S # 1** : *All house Mosaic floor, repair..... (page No. 19 of 27)* : The contractor has applied a condition of electricity to this item. According to SPPRA/PEC conditional bid is not acceptable.
- f) **PATHWAY PAVERS & GARDEN TILES (CLAY TYPE) S # 1** : *(page No. 19 of 27)* : In this item the size of tile is 12" x 12" whereas, contractor altered the size by 6" x 12" and made this item conditional which is not acceptable according to rules.
- 1.3 The committee has also reviewed the bid of second lowest bidder and found correct and responsive. However, the bid of lowest bidder is Non-responsive and not according to the rules of SPPRA/PEC.
- Note: Mention SPPRA Rule 43 or page 430 (IBA's PPEP) PRELIMINARY CHECK ON FINANCIAL BIDS. No alteration is to be made in the Form of Bid nor in the Appendices thereto except in filling up the blanks as directed. If any such alterations be made or if these instructions be not fully complied with, the bid may be rejected. (Also see SPPRA Rule 43)*

Decision:

2. The committee reviewed both the bids and found **lowest** bidder namely M/s. Bin Saeed Construction as a **Non-responsive bidder** and **2nd lowest**, M/s. Faisal Trading Corporation as a **Responsive bidders**.

Action:

3. Forwarding the case to CPC for implementation & taking further decisions.



Mahmood Ali
Member

Mansoor Ali
Member



Syed Akbar Hussain Kazmi
Member



Muhammad Tariq
Convener



Dr. Muhammad Ramran Mumtaz
Chairman