## SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

## TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	TON / DUPTT	Institute of Business Administration, Kara	Chi		
	F THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Local Govt Civil Works			
	CIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER				
	F CONTRACT	Tender # CW/04/17-18  Water Boring Works with Commissioning  Purchase Committee			
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	NUMBER				
	ESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT				
	THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Rs.1.2 million			
	R ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs.1.2 million			
(For civi	ER'S ESTIMATE I works only)	HHOME VALUE			
) ESTIMA	ATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS	PER CONTRACT) 1 Month			
0) TENDE	R OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	December 18, 2017 (3:30 pm)			
1) NUMBI	ER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOL list of buyers)				
2) NUMBI	ER OF BIDS RECEIVED	TWO			
(3) NUMBI	ER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE	E TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS NA			
		Copy Enclosed			
/Unclos	ALUATION REPORT e a copy)				
	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESS	SFUL BIDDER M/s S. M. Saeed & Bro	thers		
15) NAME	AND ADDRESS OF THE				
16) CONT	RACT AWARD PRICE	Rs.1,450,000/-			
17) RANK	ING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN 2nd 3rd EVALUATION BID).	EVALUATION REPORT M/s S. M. Saeed & Brothers			
(i.e. 1"	, 2", 3" EVALUATION BID).	M/s Geolog Services			
18) METT	HOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : -	(Tick one)	2		
a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELO		Domestic/ Local		
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVEL	OPE PROCEDURE	Domestic		
c)		GE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE			
d)	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOP				
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTH	HER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT TING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:	WAS ADOPTED		

55A 54,4460		ALTERIA	UAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?		
			Yes No 🗸		
EV ADVI	ERTISEMENT:				
I) ADVI	SKI ISLANDAN I V	Yes	January 16, 2018 and SPPRA ID #		
i)	SPPRA Website		139/2018		
	(II yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No	lo		
			2 90.220		
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)		Yes Dawn, Jang & Waka on November 29, 2017		
my Stam	URE OF CONTRACT		Demonik? ✓ Int.		
(Z) NATA	ORE OF CONTRACT		Loon L.		
3) WHE	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	ere o			
	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN s, enclose a copy)	187	Yes No /		
(11.5%			163 11 11 11 11		
24) WHE	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	M	Yes No 🗸		
WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN	ITS?	Yes No V		
(II ye	es, enclose a copy)	P			
25) WHI	ETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI	Y W	AS OBTAINED FOR USING A		
MET	HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	ING?	Yes / No		
		700			
		-			
26) WAS	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	-			
26) WAS	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	-	PS7		
27) WHI	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E	IDDE	rs? Yes V No		
27) WHI		IDDE	rs? Yes V No		
27) WHI BID	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E  / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie	IDDE:	JATED Yes V No		
27) WHI BHD 28) WHI	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E	IDDE:	JATED Yes V No		
27) WHI BID 28) WHI	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie  ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH	IDDE:	JATED Yes V No		
27) WHI BID 28) WHI COM 29) WHI	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	IDDE EVALUS) NICAI	Yes V No  JATED Yes V No  LLY Yes V No		
27) WHI BID 28) WHI COM	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHT APLIANT?	IDDE EVALUS) NICAI	Yes V No  JATED Yes V No  LLY Yes V No		
27) WHI BID 28) WHI COM 29) WHI THE	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	IDDE: EVALUES) NICAL	Yes V No  JATED Yes V No  LLY Yes V No  TED PRICES WERE READ OUT A  Yes V No		
27) WHI BID 28) WHI COM 29) WHI THE	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNOLOGY.  ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	IDDE: EVALUES) NICAL	Yes V No  JATED Yes V No  LLY Yes V No  TED PRICES WERE READ OUT A  Yes V No		

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Yes	
(If yes, result thereof)		
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	VEN IN THE TENI	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	~
<ol> <li>WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE T (If yes, give reasons)</li> </ol>	TME? Yes	
96. * Sanda and any and any a		
	No	
<ol> <li>DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERI (If yes, give detailed reasons.)</li> </ol>	Yes	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AN BLACK LISTED?	GENCY THAT TI	HE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  Yes   ✓ No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WI BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING	TH THE PROCUR	EMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)		Yes No 🗸
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED (	ON MOBILIZATIO	ON ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)		Yes / No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	7
	No	
At	>	10
Authorized Officer  Authorized Officer  Authorized Officer  Authorized Officer  Authorized Officer  Authorized Officer  Wing Commander (Red)  Wing Commander (Red)	ution (RA)	
ating Co ar Admtio	W Comment	

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset