Bid Evaluation Report

9. No. o 10. Bid(11. Fina	f Bid technically qu	date: (if applicable) Outline date: January 13, 20 Cost offered by the Bidder): <u>Two</u>			
9. No. o 10. Bid(11. Fina	f Bid technically questions) Rejected: NA	ualified (if applicable)): <u>Two</u>			
9. No. o	f Bid technically qu	ualified (if applicable)	: <u>Two</u>			
9. No. o	f Bid technically qu	ualified (if applicable)	: <u>Two</u>			
7. Total	Bids Received: <u>04</u>					
6. Total	Bid documents So	ld; <u>04 companies hav</u>	e collected Te	nder Documents		
	Print &	Electronic Media (SP	PRA ID No. & N	News papers names v	vith dates)	
5. Tende	Se	ber 13, 2020 on IBA 8 erial # T00559-20-001	.5			RA PPINIS
		t: Single Stage Two E				
		ne of work/item: <u>Pro</u>				
2 T						
Z. Terrui	er Reference No:	SS/01/20-21				
2. Tende						

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Mustang Security	Rs.25,814,580.00	Lowest Bidder	Rs.27,600,000.00	Accepted due to technical qualified. Comparative Sheet Attached	Technically evaluated 78%
2.	M/s Askari Guards	Rs.30,241,200.00	Highest Bidder			Technically evaluated 93%
3.	M/s Famous Security	Sealed Financial	Technically evaluated 38.5%			
4.	M/s Pacific Security Services		Technically evaluated 57.5%			

Minimum eligible percentage for technically qualification was 70% Signatures of the Members of the Committee

NTRAL PURCHASE COMMITTEE LITUTE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION M. Ma WARCH Khow CEMB, OOK

France IBA 11 Karach

Haris Karyrecky PPRA Admission

HE

CENTRAL PURCHASE C INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRA

S. Mourages FT. I'm Artharachi